



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,559		06/23/2003	James W. Darrow	CGI-0005	1256
22852	7590	12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	I, HEND	ERSON, FARABO	ANDERSON, REBECCA L		
LLP 901 NEW YO	ORK AVI	ENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		20001-4413	1626		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/602,559	DARROW ET A	L.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>		dress				
This application is abandoned in view of:							
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ not constitute a proper reply under 3	 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to t	he final rejection.				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).							
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.							
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). 							
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·				
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).							
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.							
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.							
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.							
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		se the period for see	eking court review				
7. X The reason(s) below:							
See attached interview summary							
	KAMAL A. SAEED PRIMARY EXAM	, PH.D. IINER Someod					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to				